Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANDREW ACHAL, Plaintiff, v.

GATE GOURMET, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 15-cv-01570-JCS

ORDER DENYING AS MOOT MOTION TO DISMISS

Re: Dkt. No. 8

After removing to this Court, Defendant Gate Gourmet, Inc. moved to dismiss Plaintiff Andrew Achal's Complaint. Mot. to Dismiss (dkt. 8). Plaintiff has since filed a First Amended Complaint ("FAC," dkt. 11). The Court finds Defendant's Motion suitable for disposition without oral argument and vacates the hearing scheduled for June 12, 2015. See Civ. L.R. 7-1(b).

"[T]he general rule is that an amended complaint supercedes the original complaint and renders it without legal effect " Lacey v. Maricopa County, 693 F.3d 896, 927 (9th Cir. 2012) (en banc). Accordingly, "[d]ismissal of the superseded original complaint would not alter the proceedings . . . as the parties would continue to litigate the merits of the claims contained in the now-operative First Amended Complaint." See Liberi v. Defend Our Freedoms Founds., Inc., 509 F. App'x 595, 596 (9th Cir. 2013) (dismissing as most appeal of denial of an anti-SLAPP motion regarding a superseded complaint). The Court therefore DENIES AS MOOT Defendant's Motion. If Defendant wishes to challenge Plaintiff's FAC, Defendant may file a new motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 22, 2015

Chief Magistrate Judge

¹ The parties have consented to the jurisdiction of the undersigned magistrate judge for all purposes pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).